1	MOT	
2	Michael D. Falater (12366) HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC	
3	Peccole Professional Park	
4	10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145	
5	Tel: (702) 385-2500 Fax: (702) 385-2086	
6	mfalater@hutchlegal.com	
7	Attorneys for Seoul Trading, Inc.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION	
10	GEOLU TRADING INC	CASE NO.: 2:22-cv-00086-RFB-BNW
11	SEOUL TRADING, INC.,	
12	Plaintiff/Petitioner,	SEOUL TRADING, INC.'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF COURT
13	v.	ORDER DATED JANUARY 20, 2022 ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME
14	GOLDEN GLOBAL USA INC.,	
15	Defendant/Respondent.	
16		
17		
18		
19	Seoul Trading, Inc., specially appears, by and through its counsel of record, Hutchison &	
20	Steffen, and files this Motion for Reconsideration of Court Order Dated January 20, 2022 on an	
21	Order Shortening Time.	
22	///	
23		
24	///	
25	///	
26		
27		
28		

## Case 2:22-cv-00086-RFB-BNW Document 5 Filed 02/07/22 Page 2 of 6

This motion is made and based on the papers and pleadings on file herein, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities submitted herewith, the Declaration of Michael D. Falater, Esq., submitted herewith, and any further evidence and oral arguments as may be made at the hearing of this matter. DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of February, 2022. HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC Michael D. Falater Peccole Professional Park 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Attorneys for Seoul Trading, Inc. 

28

## II. NATURE OF MOTION

This matter is a "quasi-appeal" from a Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act Complaint that was initiated by Complainant Seoul Trading, Inc. against Respondent Golden Global USA, Inc in a previous case. Complainant Seoul Trading was successful before the United States Department of Agriculture and was awarded \$66,554.15 in reparation against Respondent Golden Global. *See* Exhibit A, PACA Order.

On January 18, 2022, Golden Global filed a document with this Court entitled "Opposition to Complaint's [sic] motion to dismiss or for summary judgment" and made an Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs. *See* ECF No. 1. In that document, Golden Global did not caption the case correctly. Seoul Trading was identified as the "Plaintiff," likely because it was the Complainant in the underlying PACA action. Golden Global was identified as the "Defendant," although Golden Global is the appellant and the party to file the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

On January 20, 2022, this Court denied the application to proceed in district court without prepaying fees and costs, "as it appears that Plaintiff can pay the filing fee." See ECF No. 2 at p. 2. That Order also ruled "that Plaintiff may not proceed without an attorney, as it is a corporation." *Id.* The Order identified Seoul Trading as the "Plaintiff," due to Golden Global's improper captioning of its application. *Id.* Seoul Trading has never been officially served with the "Opposition" and specially appears here to clarify this Court's Order.

However, again, the application and opposition were filed by <u>Golden Global</u>. Seoul Trading did not file the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or the operative pleading. In addition, Seoul Trading is represented by counsel. Golden Global has not been represented by counsel. Though the Order included "that Plaintiff, Seoul Trading Inc., must retain counsel and that counsel must file an appearance by February 10, 2022," this should have been directed at

to file an appearance by February 10, 2022.

3

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Golden Global instead of Seoul Trading. Golden Global should be ordered to retain counsel and

## III. ARGUMENT

"The court may correct a clerical mistake or a mistake arising from oversight or omission whenever one is found in a judgment, order, or other part of the record." FRCP 60(a). The instant action was commenced by Golden Global, who identified themselves as the "Defendant" in this action, as they were the "respondent" in the underlying PACA action. Based on this incorrect captioning, the Court's order dated January 20, 2022, conflated the two parties and requires Seoul Trading to retain counsel and file an appearance; however, the Order should be directed to Golden Global to retain counsel, as they were the ones that made the application to proceed in forma pauperis and initiated this appeal of the PACA action. According, Seoul Trading asks this Court to reconsider its Order and to require Golden Global to pay its filing fee, retain counsel, and have counsel file an appearance by February 10, 2022.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Seoul Trading asks this Court to reconsider its order to properly identify the parties and order Golden Global to retain counsel and file an appearance by February 10, 2022. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 3 is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. It is granted to the extent that the Court vacates its order at ECF No. 2 to the extent it ordered Seoul Trading, Inc, to retain counsel (who has now appeared in this case).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Golden Global USA Inc. must retain counsel and have counsel file an appearance by February 25, 2022. See In re Am. W. Airlines, 40 F.3d 1058, 1059 (9th Cir. 1994) (stating that "[c]orporations and other unincorporated associations must appear in court through an attorney"). Failure to comply with this Order will result in a recommendation to the district judge that this case be dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 3:19 pm, February 07, 2022

Derbucke

BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Page 5 of 6

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 Pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC and that on this 3 day of February, 2022, I caused the above and foregoing document 3 entitled SEOUL TRADING, INC.'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF COURT 4 ORDER DATED JANUARY 20, 2022 ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME to be served as follows: 5 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b) and Section IV of District of Nevada Electronic 6 Filing Procedures, to be served via electronic services; or 7 by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed 8 envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada; and/or 9 pursuant to FRCP 5(b)(2)(D), to be sent via facsimile; and/or 10 to be hand-delivered; 11 to the attorney(s) and/or parties indicated below: 12 13 Duke Park 3726 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Unit 3003 14 City Center, NV 89158 T: 702-550-1152 15 dukepark@me.com 16 Defendant/Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 An employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, 22 LLC 23 24 25 26 27

28